





APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/688,063	09/688,063 10/13/2000		Michael J. Natan	SURR-30	1277
	7590	04/18/2003			
Barry J Swa			EXAMINER		
Swanson & Bratschun LLC 1745 Shea Center Drive Suite 330				CROSS, L.	ATOYA I
Highlands Ranch, CO 80129				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1743	
				DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	TE MAILED: 04/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	09/688,063	NATAN ET AL.					
Auvisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	_				
	LaToya I. Cross	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	This are the maining date of the imario	and, every man, may reduce any					
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	•					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			~				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.	•	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-63</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) □ approved or b) □ disapp	proved by the Examiner.					
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
0. ☑ Other: Interview Summary							
	•						

Application No.

⊷plicant(s)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants' limitation of the combinatorially derived extraction phases being different from one another has no been previously considered.

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700